



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/515,717 | 02/29/2000 | Binh T. Nguyen | IGT1P030/P-282 | 1099 |

22434 7590 11/26/2002

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP
P.O. BOX 778
BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778

EXAMINER

SAGER, MARK ALAN

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

3714

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

File S.M.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/515,717

Applicant(s)
Nguyen

Examiner
Sager

Art Unit
3714



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sager (3) _____
(2) Mr. D. Olynick (48615) (4) _____

Date of Interview Nov 22, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: proposed amended claims 1, 21, 24 and 35, attached

Identification of prior art discussed:
Kelly

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:


Council requested an after final interview to assert patentability for proposed claim language deemed by Council to be consistent with remarks provided in last official action so as to temporarily preclude Kelly. Examiner stated opinion that proposed language fails to preclude a series of plays such as within a tournament and thus failed to preclude Kelly's teachings. Council asked for suggested language and examiner noted that comprising failed to preclude a series of plays and for each play fails to preclude at least since game play is not defined within instant specification in a manner to preclude a series of plays or games such as within a tournament taught by Kelly. Council stated possible action of filing an RCE with remarks/amended claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required